UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,802	12/02/2003	Michael Joseph Washburn	139682UL (15276US01)	3317
	7590 10/27/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	BODDIE, WILLIAM		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/725,802	WASHBURN, MICHAEL JOSEPH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WILLIAM L. BODDIE	2629		

	WILLIAM L. BODDIE	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(t)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on the tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 3.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	11. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	,	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations substantially alter the scope of the claims. Specifically, for example, the transmitting now must be performed remotely.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. On pages 7-8, the Applicant argues that McCabe does not control functionality nor transmit a command. The Examiner must respectfully disagree. As discussed in the previous office action, adjustment of the variable P is integral to the functionality of the device. The variable P determines the sensitivity and particulars of the displayed Doppler image. Furthermore it should be noted that all that is required by the claim language is that the command adjust a setting or function of the medical imaging system. It seems well within the broadest reasonable interpretation of the limitation to include adjusting the setting of McCabe's P variable. As such the rejection is seen as sufficient and thus maintained. The additional arguments are all drawn to the newly added claim limitations and as such are moot.

It should be noted that the disclosures of Gaughan, Chang and Holmes would all appear to satisfy the newly added "remote" limitations.